

UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tracemark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/530,112 07/10/95 MATSUBARA K 215/070 **EXAMINER** HM22/0509 LYON AND LYON FIRST INTERSTATE WORLD CENTER CAMPELI 633 WEST FIFTH STREET **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SUITE 4700 LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2066 1632 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr ceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

:2:

05/09/00

FO-90C (Rev. 2/95)

Office Action Summary	Application No. Applicant(s) 8/530/1/2 Mats para elas
	Examiner Group Art Unit 1632
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-
P riod for Response	7
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defa	136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Bult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. By statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	/
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/22/	(D)
☐ This action is FINAL .	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disp sition of Claims	
Claim(s) 1, 3, 13, 21, 29-68	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 1, 3, 13, 21, 29-68	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	
	ed to by the Examiner.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ed to by the Examiner.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ed to by the Examiner.
	ed to by the Examiner.
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of to received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) 	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). he priority documents have been
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of to received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of received in this national stage application from the Interest 	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). he priority documents have been er) rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of to received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Certified copies not received: 	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). he priority documents have been er) rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of to ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number ☐ received in this national stage application from the Interest *Certified copies not received: Attachm nt(s)	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). he priority documents have been er) rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of to received. □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interestigated copies not received: Attachm nt(s) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No. 	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). he priority documents have been or) rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). o(s)
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of to ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number ☐ received in this national stage application from the Interest *Certified copies not received: Attachm nt(s)	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). he priority documents have been er) rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). o(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413 ONotice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)



Application/Control Number: 08/530,112

Art Unit: 1632

The response filed February 22, 2000 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 3, 13, 21 and 29-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a well established utility or a specific, substantial utility.

The disclosed utilities for the claimed polynucleotides are 1) detecting the expression status of mRNAs, 2) detecting and diagnosing diseases and viral infections, 3) identifying cell types, and 4) cloning genes expressed in a tissue-specific manner. The specification discloses partial cDNA sequences (ESTs). The specification does not appear to disclose the identity or biological activity of any protein encoded by the full length cDNAs corresponding to the ESTs. Thus, with regard to utility 4, specific utility is lacking because a method of making a material does not have a specific asserted utility in those instances where the final product has no disclosed or well established utility. Utility 2 is not a substantial utility because there is no demonstrated correlation between expression of any of the claimed ESTs and any disease or virus. While it is not inconceivable that the polynucleotides might some day be used for these purposes, these utilities are not readily available, i.e. further research is required on the invention itself before it can be used for these purposes. Utility 1 is also not a substantial utility because it is essentially further research on the invention itself. Utilities 3 and 4 are not specific utilities because each cell type in the human body is expected to express a number of genes which are expressed predominantly in that cell type. Because any cell- or tissue-specific sequence can be used to identify cell types or isolate tissue-specific genes, these are not considered specific utilities.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.





Application/Control Number: 08/530,112

Art Unit: 1632

Written Description

Claims 1, 3, 13, 21 and 29-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention, as previously stated (paper 12, p. 2).

Applicants argue that they were in possession of shorter sequences contained within the elected EST sequences. The Examiner agrees. The basis for the rejection is the open claim language (i.e. "comprising"). The claims encompass a large genus of polynucleotides, including the subgenera of full length cDNAs and genes. The specification does not disclose the complete structure of any full length cDNA or gene, nor are any other identifying characteristics disclosed. Thus the written description requirement is not satisfied for these subgenera, nor for the larger genus recited in the claims.

Applicants argue that one skilled in the art could obtain longer sequences. The Examiner agrees that one could simply add nucleotides at random to produce longer sequences comprising the elected ESTs. The primary concern, however, is that the claims encompass full length cDNAs, longer partial cDNAs, and genomic sequences which are not described in the specification. The fact that one could isolate such polynucleotides does not indicate that Applicants were in possession of them at the time of filing.

Enablement

Claims 1, 3, 13, 21 and 29-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth in the rejection under § 101 above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

In the event that Applicants might overcome the rejection under § 101, the following ground of rejection would still apply.





Application/Control Number: 08/530,112

Art Unit: 1632

Claims 1, 3, 13, 21 and 29-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph because the specification is enabling only for claims limited to polynucleotides consisting of the elected sequences, or fragments thereof, as previously stated (paper 12, pp. 3-4).

Applicants argue that the elected sequences can be used as probes, primers, etc. This argument is not persuasive because the specification does not teach what the probes and primers will detect or isolate. Thus this is not a specific utility, as discussed above.

Applicants argue that longer sequences can be obtained by methods routine in the art. This argument is not persuasive because isolating the full length cDNAs or genomic clones would require further experimentation on the invention itself. If such experimentation is required, then the claimed invention does not have a substantial utility (i.e., the utility is not immediately available).

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce Campell, whose telephone number is 703-308-4205. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 to 4:30 (Eastern time). The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jasemine Chambers, can be reached on 703-308-2035. The FAX phone numbers for group 1600 are 703-308-4242 and 703-305-3014.

An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

> BRUCE R. CAMPELL PRIMARY EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600**

Bome Campell